Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
M. King, Deputy
4/8/2019 12:12:00 PM
Filing ID 10331398

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

2122

2324

2526

2728

STEPHEN C. RICH, PLLC 3401 East Elwood, #101 Phoenix, Arizona 85040

Telephone: (602) 710-2600 E-Mail: scr@srichlaw.com

Stephen C. Rich - 007488 Attorneys for Defendants

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

FIERCE INVESTMENTS, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

V.

AZTEC COPPER INC., an Arizona corporation, RON ARNOLD, an individual; and CHRISTINE REEVES, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV2018-003675

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTEMPT

(Assigned to the Honorable Daniel Kiley)

Defendant Aztec Copper, Inc. ("Aztec") submits this Response in Opposition to the Motion of Plaintiff to hold Aztec in Contempt.

Procedurally, only Aztec and Defendant Ron Arnold ("Arnold") have been served, and judgment has been entered against only Aztec. Arnold's Answer was filed on April 4. Defendant Christine Reeves has not been served.

The Default Judgment entered by this Court ordered Aztec (1) to produce or make available for inspection the books and records of Aztec and (2) to make available for a joint deposition in Arizona within 30 days of the Order. The joint deposition was to include the allegations of a separate law suit filed by Plaintiff, CV2018-006866, assigned currently to Judge Christopher Whitten.

As this Response demonstrates, Aztec has taken steps to comply with the Default Judgment and an Order of Contempt is not justified. Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt should be denied.

-

STATEMENT OF FACTS

This Action arose from a dispute over ownership and control of Aztec Copper Inc., an Arizona corporation. In its Complaint, Plaintiff Fierce Investments, Ltd. a Bahamian corporation, claimed that it was the record owner of some 40,000,000 shares of Aztec.

This Court entered a default judgment on the Complaint in which it held that "Plaintiff Fierce has the right to inspect the books and records of Aztec under A.R.S. §10-602 but has been denied it right to conduct such inspection." Default Judgment, ¶ B. A.R.S. §10-602 specifies that only shareholders have the rights described therein.

Two of the Directors of Aztec and its Canadian counsel have submitted Declarations detailing the facts of the matter, including Aztec's efforts to comply with the default judgment. See attached Declarations of Ron Arnold, Christine Reeves and Stephen Snyder, Exhibits A, B and C.

Declaration of Ron Arnold

Mr. Arnold describes himself as a director of Aztec and sets out the non-shareholder status of Plaintiff. Arnold Declaration, ¶¶ 1 and 3. Arnold also describes his efforts and those of Christine Reeves to comply with the provisions of the default Judgment, attached to his Declaration as Exhibit B.

Mr. Arnold describes the tight timeframe considering the international travel required to comply and describes his health issues, including serious medical issues that have resulted in direction from his physician no to travel. A copy of a note from his physician dated January 10, 2018, is attached to the Declaration as Exhibit C. Arnold Declaration ¶ 7.

Mr. Arnold includes a letter of Mr. Snyder, his Canadian counsel, to Plaintiff's counsel dated December 18, 2018 in which Mr. Snyder requested that the deposition be conducted in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada due to the recent surgery of Mr. Arnold. Mr. Snyder also offers to make Ms. Reeves available for deposition in Canada. Finally, Mr. Snyder includes with his letter a list of Aztec shareholders. Attached to the Arnold Declaration as Exhibit D. Arnold Declaration, ¶ 8. This request was refused by Plaintiff.

Mr. Arnold notes that Exhibit C, a letter from Mr. Snyder to Plaintiff's counsel of January

10, 2019 also suggests that the parties utilize video conferencing for both Mr. Arnold and Ms. Reeves depositions. It appears that Plaintiff did not even respond to this proposal by Mr. Snyder. As Mr. Arnold state, Aztec and its directors have made reasonable attempts to comply with the deposition requirements of the default judgment. Arnold Declaration, ¶¶ 9 and 10.

Since Plaintiff has filed a similar Motion for Contempt in the companion case, CV2018-006866, Mr. Arnold addresses the requirements of that default judgment.

Mr. Arnold states that as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Aztec and due to his inability to travel due to medical issues, he set and attempted to hold an annual meeting of the shareholders of Aztec in Canada in February. In particular, on February 11, 2019 he sent a Notice of Meeting, with the option to send a proxy, to the shareholders on the list submitted to Plaintiff of December 18. The meeting was held on February 23, 2019.

According to Mr. Arnold, even with the Notice he sent, there was no quorum at the meeting. Mr. Arnold notes that most of the shareholders reside in the Edmonton area where the meeting was held. He also notes, that given the inability of the shareholders' meeting to raise a quorum in Edmonton, where most of the shareholders reside, it is unlikely that a quorum would be possible if the meeting were held in Maricopa County. Arnold Declaration, ¶¶ 11 and 12.

Finally, Mr. Arnold states that he and Christine Reeves have provided to Plaintiff, through Aztec's Canadian counsel, copies of all records that exist for Aztec. Arnold Declaration, ¶ 13.

Based upon the foregoing, Mr. Arnold states that he believes that through him and Ms. Reeves, Aztec has made "reasonable and substantive attempts to meet its obligations pursuant to the Default Judgment and an order for contempt of court would be unnecessarily harsh and unfounded." Arnold Declaration, ¶ 14.

Declaration of Christine Reeves

Ms. Reeves is a director of Aztec and she describes her efforts to comply with the default judgment. Ms. Reeves describes the tight timeframe considering the international travel required to comply.

Ms. Reeves notes that Exhibit C, letters from Mr. Snyder to Plaintiff's counsel of December 18, 2018 and January 10, 2019 ask that the depositions occur in Canada and suggests

that the parties utilize video conferencing for both Mr. Arnold and Ms. Reeves depositions. It appears that Plaintiff did not even respond to this last proposal by Mr. Snyder. According to Ms. Reeves, because she and Mr. Arnold have made themselves available to be deposed in Edmonton and by video conference and given that video conferencing would be the most cost-effective method to depose residents of Edmonton, it is her position that Aztec and its directors have made reasonable attempts at complying with the default judgment. Reeves Declaration, ¶ 10.

Since Plaintiff has filed a similar Motion for Contempt in the companion case, CV2018-006866, Ms. Reeves addresses the requirements of that default judgment.

Ms. Reeves states that the annual meeting ordered by the Court was attempted to be held in Edmonton because of Mr. Arnolds medical issues and because most of the shareholders reside in the area around Edmonton. As she says, due to the location of the shareholders and the fact that Aztec has been inactive for several years, it was considered that the best chance to reach a quorum was to hold the meeting in Edmonton. Ms. Reeves states that she personally signed and sent notices to every shareholder of record for Aztec by regular mail and by electronic mail, when it was available. Reeves Declaration. ¶ 11.

Despite the efforts of Mr. Arnold and Ms. Reeves, no quorum was reached at the annual meeting on February 23, 2019. As Ms. Reeves concludes, if no quorum could be reached in Edmonton, it is her belief that there would be even less likelihood of reached a quorum if the meeting was scheduled in Maricopa County. All reasonable measures were taken to hold a meeting of the shareholders with enough members to reach quorum and conduct business. Ms. Reeves states her belief that the Court should make the determination that reasonable best efforts were taken to hold a proper meeting of the Aztec shareholders and that an order of Contempt against Aztec or its directors would be unreasonable and harsh in these circumstances. Reeves Declaration ¶ 12.

As to the sister case's orders regarding the books and records of Aztec, Ms. Reeves states that copies of all books and records of Aztec have already been given to Plaintiff. As she states, when Ms. Reeves joined the Board in May, 2010 and since that time, Aztec has had no money,

no bank account and no access to any bank accounts. Aztec, Ron Arnold and Ms. Reeves have no records other than those provided to Plaintiff through their Canadian counsel in June, 2018 as demonstrated in Exhibit E to her Declaration. Reeves Declaration ¶ 13.

Based upon the foregoing, Ms. Reeves states that she believes that "Aztec has met or has made all reasonable efforts to meet its obligations as outlined in the Default Judgment. As such, an order for contempt of court would be harsh and unfounded." Reeves Declaration, ¶ 14.

Declaration of Stephen C. Snyder

Mr. Snyder is the Canadian solicitor representing Aztec, Ron Arnold and Christine Reeves. In his Declaration, attached as Exhibit C, Mr. Snyder states that the provisions of the default judgment have been substantially complied with and that reasonable steps have been taken in those areas which have not yet been the subject of complete compliance. Snyder Declaration, ¶ 3.

Mr. Snyder states that he was surprised that in Mr. Beauchamp's Declaration, submitted as a part of Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt, Mr. Beauchamp references in his paragraph 19 a letter from Mr. Snyder to Mr. Beauchamp dated December 31, 2018 but then attaches an irrelevant letter dated March 22, 2018 rather than the referenced letter. Snyder Declaration ¶ 5.

In Snyder's correspondence of December 18, 2018 to Beauchamp, Mr. Snyder advised Beauchamp that Mr. Arnold and Ms. Reeves would be available for depositions in Edmonton. In subsequent correspondence of January 10, 2019, Mr. Snyder suggested the possibility that depositions could take place via video conference. Mr. Beauchamp never responded to this suggestion. Snyder Declaration ¶ 5.

Mr. Snyder responds to paragraphs 5 thorough 11 of Mr. Beauchamp's Declaration by stating that all books and records have been made available to Mr. Beauchamp for review, to the best of Mr. Snyder's knowledge. In particular, Mr. Snyder testifies that he enclosed the existing books and records with correspondence to Mr. Beauchamp dated June 7, 2018—prior to the entry of default or the default judgment. Snyder Declaration ¶ 6.

Mr. Snyder testifies that contrary to Mr. Beauchamp's Declaration, at paragraph 19, a draft copy of the default judgment was never received by Mr. Snyder's office. If Mr. Beauchamp had

consulted with Mr. Snyder, Mr. Snyder would have recommended changes to both the timeline and the locations of deposition and meetings. Further, the default judgment would have been disputed because the timelines were simply impossible to meet due to Mr. Arnold's illness and the tightness of the times allowed. Snyder Declaration ¶¶ 7 and 8.

Mr. Snyder was personally present at the meeting of some of the shareholders of Aztec held on February 23, 2019. Every reasonable attempt was made to achieve a quorum, including notice to shareholders by electronic and regular correspondence which included a Notice of e meeting and a form of proxy. Copies of these documents are attached to Mr. Snyder's Declaration as Exhibit C.

Due to the tight timeline of the default judgment, these was no possibility of working with shareholders to determine a date that would have been convenient. All previous shareholders' meetings have been held in the Edmonton area because this was convenient to the greatest number of shareholders. Snyder Declaration ¶¶ 9 and 10.

Mr. Snyder states that in light of the fact that no quorum could be attained despite the best efforts of Mr. Arnold and Ms. Reeves, and of Mr. Arnold's health issues which prevent him from traveling outside Edmonton, if would be unreasonable and harsh under these circumstances for the Court to issue an Order for Contempt. Snyder Declaration ¶ 12.

Mr. Snyder opines that Aztec has complies with or has made reasonable attempts to comply with the provisions of the default judgment. Snyder Declaration ¶ 13.

ARGUMENT

As set forth in the attached Declarations of Ron Arnold, Christine Reeves and Stephen Snyder, Exhibits A, B and C, respectively, Aztec has taken all steps available to it to comply with the Judgment.

Depositions of Arnold and Reeves

Plaintiff's Motion seeks to hold Aztec and Reeves in contempt for failing to comply with the Judgment. As noted above, Reeves, a Canadian citizen and resident, has never been served and no judgment has been entered against her. This Court has no personal jurisdiction over her. Other than her position as a Director of Aztec, she is not a party to this litigation.

As to the deposition of Arnold, as noted by Plaintiff, Arnold is under medical care and has been advised not to travel until the investigation of his medical condition is completed. For that reason, he was not available for the deposition noticed in January. Arnold Declaration \P 7; Snyder Declaration \P 12.

Production of Books and Records

All existing books and records have been produced to Plaintiff's counsel. In particular, there are no books or records available beyond those already produced to Plaintiff's counsel. Declaration of Christine Reeves, ¶13; Snyder Declaration ¶ 6.

Fierce's status as a Shareholder

An additional issue is the Court's finding that Fierce has the right to review books and records pursuant to A.R.S. §10-1602. This section requires that a shareholder must have been a shareholder of record for at least 6 months before the request. As set forth in the Declaration of Christine Reeves, Fierce voluntarily terminated its certificate of shares in April 2011. Since it was not a shareholder at the time of the filing of the request to examine books and records, A.R.S. §10-1602 does not apply. Reeves Declaration ¶3; Arnold Declaration ¶3.

Evidentiary Objections to Keith Beauchamp Declaration

In the Snyder Declaration and in the Evidentiary Objections to Keith Beauchamp's Declaration, attached as Exhibits C and D, respectively, Mr. Snyder challenges Beauchamp's claim that Beauchamp's office served a draft copy of the Default Judgment and/or Order upon Mr. Snyder directly. The importance of this claim is that the Judgment contains an error regarding the shareholder status of Fierce Investments and the Orders regarding depositions in Arizona were improper. Having depositions in Arizona when Arnold was medically unable to attend and during the Christmas holiday was nearly impossible. Whether the form of Order was submitted directly to Mr. Snyder should be accurate. It is not, currently.

CONCLUSION

Aztec having made reasonable efforts to perform under the default judgment, especially in light of the continuing deterioration of Mr. Arnold's health, has performed to the best of its ability and requests the Court to deny the Motion for Contempt submitted by Plaintiff.

1	DATED this day of April 20	019.
2		STEPHEN C. RICH, PLLC
3		
4		By /s/ Stephen C. Rich
5		Stephen C. Rich 3401 East Elwood, #101
6		Phoenix, Arizona 85040
7	ORIGINAL OF THE FOREGOING	Attorneys for Defendant
8	MAILED this day of April 2019 to:	
9	Keith Beauchamp	
10	Roopali H. Desai COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC	
11	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900	
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13	. A O	
14	BY	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		